Atomic Data from 31st July 2007



expansion

R-Matrix method

Intermediate energies

Keith Butler Universitätssternwarte Munich

1.1

Outline

1 Introduction

- **2** Hydrogen wavefunctions
- **3** The Quantum Defect Method
- **4** Many electron systems
- **6** Scattering theory
- **6** The close-coupling expansion
- **7** R-Matrix method
- **8** Intermediate energies



A brief overview

Introduction

Hydrogen wavefunctions

The Quantum Defect Method

Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

Fermi's Golden Rule

Time dependent perturbation theory gives us Fermi's Golden Rule

$$W_{ba} = \frac{dP_{ba}}{dt} = 2\pi |\langle \Psi_b | V | \Psi_a \rangle|^2$$

which describes a transition from state a to state b caused by a perturbation V.

So if we know V and the wavefunctions we can calculate the transition probability.

Examples are

- Oscillator strengths *V* = **r**
- Born approximation for Coulomb potential V = 1/r

So how do we get the wavefunctions?

Keith Butler



A brief overview

nt roduction

Hydrogen wavefunctions

The Quantum Defect Method

Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

energies

Hydrogen wavefunctions

The Schrödinger equation for a central potential is

$$\left[-\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu}\nabla_r^2+V(r)\right]\psi(\mathbf{r})=E\psi(\mathbf{r}).$$

Solutions of the hydrogenic Schrödinger equation (V(r) = -z/r)in spherical coordinates are

$$\Psi = Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) R_{nl}(r)$$

- finite mass gives $\mu = m_e M/(m_e + M)$
- nlm are the quantum numbers
- n > 1, m = -1...1
- n and l are integers

•
$$I = 0 \ 1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 4 \ \dots$$

s p d f g

- Y_{1m} are spherical harmonics
- R_{nl} are the radial functions (Lagrange polynomials)

The angular functions give us selection rules.

Atomic data

Keith Butler



A brief overview Introduction

Hydrogen wavefunctions

The Quantum Defect Method

Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

Negative energies

Setting u = rR the radial equation simplifies to

$$\left[-\frac{1}{2}\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{l(l+1)}{2r^2} + V\right]u = \frac{E}{2}u.$$

atomic units ($e = \hbar = m = 1$), E in Rydberg units Hydrogenic V = -z/r. If $\rho = zr$ and $\varepsilon = -\lambda^2 = E/z^2$, gives

$$\left[\frac{d^2}{d\rho^2} - \frac{l(l+1)}{\rho^2} + \frac{2}{\rho} - \lambda^2\right]u = 0$$

So all hydrogenic atoms satisfy the same wave equation provided we decrease the size of the atom by a factor z and at the same time increase the ionization energies by a factor z^2 .



Keith Butler



A brief overview Introduction Hydrogen

wavefunctions

The Quantum Defect Method

Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

Hydrogen wavefunctions

What do the radial wavefunctions look like?

Energy normalized hydrogenic wavefunctions



Atomic data

Keith Butler



Expectation values

Now we have them we can calculate things with them

$$\langle r \rangle_{nlm} = \frac{n^2}{z} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \frac{l(l+1)}{n^2} \right] \right\}$$

$$\langle r^2 \rangle_{nlm} = \frac{n^4}{z^2} \left\{ 1 + \frac{3}{2} \left[1 - \frac{l(l+1) - 1/3}{n^2} \right] \right\}$$

$$\left\langle \frac{1}{r} \right\rangle_{nlm} = \frac{z}{n^2}$$

$$\left\langle \frac{1}{r^2} \right\rangle_{nlm} = \frac{z^2}{n^3(l+\frac{1}{2})}$$

$$\left\langle \frac{1}{r^3} \right\rangle_{nlm} = \frac{z^3}{n^3(l(l+\frac{1}{2})(l+1))}$$

and oscillator strengths/photoionization cross sections

$$f_{if} = rac{2\Delta E_{fi}}{3} |\langle \phi_f | \, \mathbf{r} \, | \phi_i
angle |^2 \qquad \sigma_{
m v} \sim rac{df}{dE}$$

oscillator strengths independent of z

Atomic data

Keith Butler



Positive energies

Let $\varepsilon = k^2$, l = 0 and z = 0 then

$$\frac{d^2 u}{d\rho^2} = -k^2 u$$

solutions are $e^{\pm ik\rho}$ or $\cos k\rho$, $\sin k\rho$. A general solution is a linear combination

$$u = A \sin k\rho + B \cos k\rho$$

or

$$u=\sqrt{A^2+B^2}(\cos\delta\phi_s+\sin\delta\phi_c).$$

(writing ϕ_s for the sine and ϕ_c for the cosine solutions) and

$$\tan \delta = B/A.$$

Atomic data

Keith Butler



A brief overview Introduction Hydrogen wavefunctions

The Quantum Defect Method

Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

Keith Butler

Thus

$$u = \sqrt{A^2 + B^2} \sin(k\rho + \delta).$$

 δ is called the phase shift. We can find A and B if we know the function and its derivative at some value of $\rho = \rho_0$

$$\begin{array}{lll} u(\rho_0) &=& A\sin(k\rho_0) + B\cos(k\rho_0) \\ u'(\rho_0) &=& A\cos(k\rho_0) - B\sin(k\rho_0) \end{array}$$

Once we have A and B we have the wavefunction from ρ_0 to ∞ . For $l \neq 0$ but still with $z = 0 \ k\rho$ is replaced with $(k\rho - \frac{l\pi}{2})$. The full Coulomb equation then has

$$\left(k\rho - \frac{1}{k}\ln 2k\rho - \frac{l\pi}{2} + \arg(l+1-\frac{i}{k})\right)$$

More complicated but still just sine and cosine.



A brief overview Introduction

Hydrogen wavefunctions

The Quantum Defect Method

Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

Energy normalized wavefunctions

There is continuity from bound states $n \rightarrow \infty$ to the free states E = 0



Atomic data

Keith Butler



A brief overview Introduction Hydrogen

wavefunctions

The Quantum Defect Method

Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

The Quantum Defect Method

For hydrogen-like ions, the energy levels are $E_n = -R_H z^2/n^2$ R_H Rydberg constant for H (109677 cm⁻¹) Observations show that for more complicated systems the energies are

$$E_n=-\frac{Rz^2}{(n-\mu_{nl})^2}.$$

The Rydberg constant is

$$R = \frac{R_{\infty} (= 109737.312 \text{ cm}^{-1})}{1 + 1/1836 * AW}$$

- Atomic weight (AW) because of reduced mass main
- quantum defect μ_{nl} slowly varying function of the energy (E),
- large for small values of I
- large *l* hydrogenic, μ_{nl} small





A brief overview Introduction Hydrogen wavefunctions

The Quantum Defect Method

Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

Seaton (1958) shows that the phase shift at positive energies is related to the quantum defect at negative energies via

 $\delta_l = \pi \mu_l$

and that bound states can occur at energies where

 $\tan[\pi(\nu+\mu)]=0$

i.e. $\nu + \mu$ is an integer

This illustrates the continuity of physical variables across ionization thresholds. It can be put to practical use.

- bound state energies give quantum defects. Use to
- infer the energies of unobserved bound state energies
- deduce values of phase shifts photoionization

Keith Butler



A brief overview Introduction Hydrogen wavefunctions

The Quantum Defect Method

Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

Quantum defects for C IV s states. The ionization energy is 520178.4 cm⁻¹ (Moore C.E., NSRDS-NBS 3, Sect. 3)

nl	E(cm ⁻¹)	n*	μ
2s	.00	1.837	.163
3s	302849.00	2.842	.158
4s	401348.09	3.844	.156
5s	445368.50	4.844	.156
6s	468784.00	5.845	.155
7s	482706.00	6.845	.155
8s	491650.81	7.845	.155
9s	497736.69	8.845	.155



Keith Butler



A brief overview Introduction Hydrogen

wavefunctions

The Quantum Defect Method

Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

Quantum defects for C $\mid\!\! \vee$

Atomic data

Keith Butler



Keith Butler

- Can go in both directions so that calculated or observed phase shifts may be used to find bound state energies etc.
 - Theory can be used to calculate oscillator strengths cheaply (Bates and Damgaard, 1950)
 - Functions will not be correct for small *r* but integral weights large *r*

$$|\langle \phi_f | \mathbf{r} | \phi_i \rangle|^2 \equiv \left| \int \phi_f^* \mathbf{r} \phi_i \, d\mathbf{r} \right|$$

• Method works well for one electron systems and cases in which a single electron does all the work.



A brief overview Introduction Hydrogen wavefunctions

The Quantum Defect Method

Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

Quantum defect oscillator strengths for C IV.

Atomic data

Keith Butler

Lower	Upper	f _{OD}	f _{RM}
2s	2p	2857	0.2855
2s	Зр	.1993	0.203
2s	4p	.0600	0.061
2s	5р	.0265	0.0270
2s	бр	.0142	0.0145
2s	7р	.0085	0.0087
2s	8р	.0055	0.00565
2s	9р	.0038	0.0039
2s	10p	.0029	0.0028
2s	11p	.0022	



A brief overview Introduction Hydrogen wavefunctions

The Quantum Defect Method

Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

Photoionization Cross Sections

Can be applied to photoionization cross sections (Burgess and Seaton 1964)

Extrapolate the known quantum defects to positive energies to obtain estimates of the phase shifts.



Atomic data

Keith Butler

Comparison QDT vs. R-matrix for C IV

Atomic data

Keith Butler



Helium - Two electron atoms

The next simplest system is an atom with two electrons, Helium. The Hamiltonian

$$\left[-\frac{1}{2}\nabla_{\mathbf{r}_{1}}^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\nabla_{\mathbf{r}_{2}}^{2}-\frac{Z}{r_{1}}-\frac{Z}{r_{2}}+\frac{1}{r_{12}}\right]\Psi(\mathbf{r}_{1},\mathbf{r}_{2})=E\Psi(\mathbf{r}_{1},\mathbf{r}_{2}).$$

Since the Hamiltonian does not change when \mathbf{r}_1 and \mathbf{r}_2 are interchanged

 $\Psi(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2) = \pm \Psi(\mathbf{r}_2,\mathbf{r}_1).$

When the + sign applies Ψ is said to be space symmetric, denoted by Ψ_+ . The - holds for space antisymmetric functions Ψ_- .



Keith Butler



A brief overview Introduction

Hydrogen wavefunctions

The Quantum Defect Method

Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

Keith Butler



A brief overview

Introduction

Hydrogen wavefunctions

The Quantum Defect Method

Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

Intermediate energies

Must take spins into account so

 $\Psi(\mathbf{q}_1,\mathbf{q}_2) = \Psi(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2)\chi(1,2).$

These must be antisymmetric (Pauli) - gives singlets and triplets.

Keith Butler

If the total orbital angular momentum is **L** with eigenvalues L, M_L , an energy term with a particular value of S and L is normally written ${}^{2S+1}L$ since for light elements LS-coupling is a good approximation. Here first the Is are coupled to give L and s s to give S and then the sum L+S is taken. The term has multiplicity (2S+1) and L is denoted by a letter

When relativistic effects are taken into account, the levels of the terms are subject to fine-structure splitting. L, S are then no longer good quantum numbers, constants of the motion, but the total angular momentum J = L + S is. Such a level is represented by



A brief overview Introduction

Hydrogen wavefunctions

The Quantum Defect Method

Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

Independent Particle Model

What are the energy levels of Helium.

- Three-body system so must approximate.
- treat the two electrons separately
- couple them later.
- Very simplest is to $H = H_0 + H'$ with

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 &= -\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{r_1}^2 - \frac{Z}{r_1} - \frac{1}{2} \nabla_{r_2}^2 - \frac{Z}{r_2} \equiv h_1 + h_2 \\ H' &= \frac{1}{r_{12}}. \end{aligned}$$

• h_1 and h_2 are both hydrogenic



Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

Energies are

$$E_{n_1n_2}^0 = -\frac{Z^2}{2} \left(\frac{1}{n_1^2} + \frac{1}{n_2^2} \right)$$

- ground state energy $-Z^2 = -4$ a.u. observed -2.90 a.u.
- singlet and triplet states are degenerate.
- must include $1/r_{12}$

Next simplest is

$$H_{0} = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla_{r_{1}}^{2} + V(r_{1}) - \frac{1}{2}\nabla_{r_{2}}^{2} + V(r_{2}) \equiv h_{1}' + h_{2}'$$

$$H' = \frac{1}{r_{12}} - \frac{Z}{r_{1}} - V(r_{1}) - \frac{Z}{r_{2}} - V(r_{2}).$$

We have simply rearranged the terms.

- Guess $V(r) = -(Z s)/r \equiv Z_e/r$.
- Screening constant s allows for the electron shielding
- Energies are hydrogenic with effective charge Z_e .
- Ground state $-Z_e^2$. s = 0.3 reproduces observed value
- Just a fit



A brief overview Introduction Hydrogen wavefunctions The Quantum Defect Method Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

N-electron systems

The Hamiltonian for an N-electron system is a straightforward generalization of that for Helium, namely

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{r_i}^2 + \frac{Z}{r_i} \right) + \sum_{j>i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{r_{ij}}$$

and the N-electron wavefunction

$$\Psi(\mathbf{q}_1,\mathbf{q}_2\ldots\mathbf{q}_N)=\Psi(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2\ldots\mathbf{r}_N)\chi(1,2,\ldots N)$$

must be antisymmetric so that the Pauli exclusion principle is satisfied. The independent particle approximation can be made for the *N*-electron system in the same way as for the two-electron system. Each electron then moves in an effective potential that describes the average effect of its interaction with the other (N-1) electrons. They in turn 'screen' the nuclear charge.

Atomic data

Keith Butler



A brief overview Introduction Hydrogen wavefunctions

The Quantum

Defect Method

Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

Keith Butler

- $1/r_{12}$ behaves like $1/r_{>}$
- potential looks like

$$V(r_i) = -\frac{Z}{r_i} + S(r_i).$$

•
$$r_i$$
 largest $r_> = r_i$ and

$$V(r) = -\frac{Z}{r_i} + \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{r_i} = -\frac{Z-N+1}{r_i}.$$

• r_i is smallest

$$V(r_i) \approx -rac{Z}{r_i} + \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} rac{1}{r_j} \right\rangle = -rac{Z}{r_i} + ext{a constant}$$

• the electron "sees" full nuclear charge.



A brief overview Introduction Hydrogen wavefunctions The Quantum Defect Method

Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

Keith Butler

In between, $S(r_i)$ will be a complicated function. Bearing these considerations in mind, we rearrange the Hamiltonian once more

$$H = H_{C} + H_{1}$$

$$H_{C} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{r_{i}}^{2} + V(r_{i}) \right) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{N} h_{i}$$

$$H_{1} = \sum_{j>i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{r_{ij}} - \sum_{i} \left(\frac{Z}{r_{i}} + V(r_{i}) \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i>i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{r_{ij}} - \sum_{i} S(r_{i}).$$

The advantage in doing this is that H_1 is now (hopefully) small and can be treated using perturbation theory.



A brief overview Introduction Hydrogen

wavefunctions

The Quantum Defect Method

Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

Keith Butler



A brief overview

Introduction

Hydrogen wavefunctions

The Quantum Defect Method

Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

Intermediate energies

• Each orbital solution of

$$\left[-\frac{1}{2}\nabla_{\mathbf{r}}^{2}+V(r)\right]\psi_{n/m_{l}}(\mathbf{r})=E_{n/}\psi_{n/m_{l}}(\mathbf{r})$$

looks like

$$\psi_{nlm_lm_s}(\mathbf{q}) = R_{nl}(r)Y_{lm_l}(\theta,\phi)\chi_{\frac{1}{2},m_s}$$

• No two electrons can have same set of 4 quantum numbers (Pauli).

Configurations, shells, sub-shells

• Total energy is sum of one-electron contributions

$$E=\sum_{nl}E_{nl},$$

- Distribution of the electrons among the orbitals is a configuration, written as $(n_1l_1)^{t_1}(n_2l_2)^{t_2}\dots(n_Vl_v)^{t_v}$.
- E.g. Ground configuration (the energetically lowest) O is $1s^22s^22p^4$
- Abbreviated to 2p⁴ with 2 1s, 2 2s and 4 2p electrons.
- This is based on central field approximation.
- The electrons are indistinguishable. No 1s electron.
- Electrons with same value of *nl* belong to same sub-shell (equivalent)
- same *n* belong to same shell.
- two values of m_s , (2l+1) values of m_l give maximum occupancy of a sub-shell is 2(2l+1). e.g. $2p^6$

Keith Butler



A brief overview Introduction

Hydrogen wavefunctions

The Quantum Defect Method

Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

Configuration Interaction

- independent particle model good \implies single configuration good.
- H₁ mixes configurations and terms with same L and S values.
- e.g. ground state of He I is $\Psi_g = 1s^2 {}^1S$. Corrections give

$$\Psi_g = a_1(1s^2) + a_2(1s2s) + a_3(1s3s) + \dots + a_k(2s^2) + \dots$$

with $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i^2 = 1$.

• 1s2s wavefunction will be

$$\Psi_{1s2s} = b_1(1s^2) + b_2(1s2s) + b_3(1s3s) + \cdots$$

 Independent particle model and single configuration make sense if single coefficient is close to 1. Keith Butler



A brief overview Introduction Hydrogen wavefunctions The Quantum Defect Method

Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

Wavefunctions are optimized

• Configuration interaction uses

$$\Psi = \sum_i c_i \Phi_i.$$

- 1. Choose a set of the Φ_i .
- 2. Choose a set of one-electron orbitals (adjustable parameters).
- 3. Calculate the Hamiltonian matrix $H_{ij} = \langle \Psi_i | H | \Psi_j \rangle$.
- 4. Diagonalize for eigenvalues E_n and coefficients c_i.
- 5. Calculate the weighted sum $\sum_{n=1}^{N} g_n E_n$, g_n is weighting factor, e.g. the statistical weight or 1.
- 6. Go back to 2 and adjust the parameters until this sum is a minimum.



Keith Butler



A brief overview Introduction Hydrogen wavefunctions

The Quantum Defect Method

Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

Keith Butler



A brief overview

Introduction

Hydrogen wavefunctions

The Quantum Defect Method

Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

- Sum over *i* runs over all bound and free states. Must be truncated to fit into computer. Balance between doable and desireable.
- Normally LS-coupling \implies low-Z ions.
- Relativistic effects via perturbation theory
- GRASP is fully relativistic but more difficult.
- Calculations along isoelectronic sequence (e.g. C I, N II, O III...) cheaply if "algebra" is stored.

Keith Butler

Look out for

- Cowan and ZEALOT. Matrix elements *H*_{ij} are sums of integrals. Least squares fits to observed energies give these integrals and then radiative data (Cowan). Kurucz uses this. The data are ideal for opacity calculations because of completeness. Data for individual ions and transitions can also be good. ZEALOT works similarly, used by Fawcett for ions of iron.
- Multi-Channel-Hartree-Fock (MCHF). A generalization of the Hartree-Fock approach to configuration interaction. The one-electron orbitals are adjusted to give a self-consistent solution to the problem. Gives best solution for any given set of configurations and one-electron orbitals. Atomic data are of high-quality.



A brief overview Introduction

Hydrogen wavefunctions

The Quantum Defect Method

Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

Keith Butler

 CIV3 and AUTOSTRUCTURE. Major difference is the radial wavefunctions. In CIV3, these are analytical 'Slater-type orbitals' (STOs)

$$P_{n_i l_i}(r) = \sum_j b_{ij} \frac{(2\xi_{ij})^{l_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{2l_{ij}!}} r^{l_{ij}} e^{-\xi_{ij}r}$$

essentially asymptotic hydrogenic functions. Radial integrals computed extremely quickly.

In AUTOSTRUCTURE potential chosen is Thomas-Fermi-Dirac-Amaldi which 'smears' out the contributions of the electrons. They are used in the calculation of 'target' wavefunctions for scattering calculations to which we turn next.



A brief overview Introduction Hydrogen

wavefunctions

The Quantum Defect Method

Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

Cross sections

Consider a typical experiment. Ideally, we have a uniform, monoenergetic beam consisting of N particles per unit time per unit area perpendicular to the beam. If the density in the target n is low enough in each collision only a single particle is involved. If the target is thin enough multiple scatterings will not occur. dN' is the number of particles scattered into the solid angle $d\Omega$ centred about a direction Ω

The number of scattered particles will be proportional to N, n and $d\Omega$ with a constant of proportionality defined by

$$dN' = Nn rac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(heta, \phi) d\Omega$$

the differential cross section. It is the ratio of the number of scattered particles dN' to the flux of incident particles with respect to the target and has the dimensions of an area. The total cross section is the integral of the differential cross section over all angles

$$\sigma_{\rm tot} = \int \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}(\theta,\phi) d\Omega$$

Atomic data

Keith Butler



A brief overview

Introduction

Hydrogen wavefunctions

The Quantum Defect Method

Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

Simple scattering theory

Scattering by a potential allows us to define such quantities as the cross section, the collision amplitude and so on. We begin with the Schrödinger equation in its time dependent form

$$\left[-\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 + V(\mathbf{r})\right]\Psi(\mathbf{r},t) = i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\Psi(\mathbf{r},t).$$

We assume $V(\mathbf{r})$ to be real and time-independent so that

 $\Psi(\mathbf{r},t)=\psi(\mathbf{r})e^{-iEt}$

and

$$\left[-\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2 + V(\mathbf{r})\right]\psi(\mathbf{r}) = E\psi(\mathbf{r}).$$

E, the energy is written as $\frac{1}{2}k^2 = \frac{1}{2}v^2$ and we also assume that *V* is of short range (atoms only, no Coulomb potential). For large r we have to solve

$$(\nabla^2 + k^2)\psi(\mathbf{r}) = 0$$

and we write

$$\Psi(\mathbf{r}) \underset{r \to \infty}{\sim} \Psi_{inc}(\mathbf{r}) + \Psi_{sc}(\mathbf{r}).$$

Atomic data

Keith Butler



Introduction Hydrogen wavefunctions

A brief overview

The Quantum Defect Method

Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

This representation is intended to describe the situation shown



A monoenergetic incident beam strikes the target atom. The beam is scattered by our target particle into a solid angle $d\Omega$ where it is detected. As with water waves striking a rock, the outgoing waves will be spherically symmetric and different fractions will be scattered into the different directions.

Atomic data

Keith Butler



A brief overview Introduction

Hydrogen wavefunctions

The Quantum Defect Method

Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

Keith Butler

The monoenergetic incident beam can be described by the plane wave

$$\psi_{\rm inc}(\mathbf{r}) = Ae^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}$$

(A is a constant) while the scattered beam is

$$\psi_{\rm sc}(\mathbf{r}) = Af(k,\theta,\phi)\frac{e^{ikr}}{r}.$$

 $f(k, \theta, \phi)$ is known as the scattering amplitude. The differential cross section is

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = |f(k,\theta,\phi)|^2$$

with a total cross section

$$\sigma_{\rm tot} = \int \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \, d\Omega.$$



A brief overview Introduction Hydrogen wavefunctions

The Quantum Defect Method

Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

The close-coupling expansion

The close-coupling expansion is an attempt to mimic the scattering experiment as closely as possible. H is simplest example.

- stationary target atom has wfn. $\psi(a|\mathbf{r}_1)$ with $a \equiv nlm$
- scatters electron with wavefunction $F(a|\mathbf{r}_2)$.
- close-coupling expansion is

 $\Psi(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}) = \sum \psi(a|\mathbf{r}_1)F(a|\mathbf{r}).$

- each pair is a channel, atom and electron in definite states during the collision.
- bound states retain their character during the collisional process
- sum so that all channels on equal footing
- note the similarity to Cl

Keith Butler



A brief overview

Introduction

Hydrogen wavefunctions

The Quantum Defect Method

Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

Resonances

Channel mixing gives resonances



Atomic data

Keith Butler



A brief overview Introduction

Hydrogen wavefunctions

The Quantum Defect Method

Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

Keith Butler

The close-coupling equations may be derived as follows. The functions satisfy the identity

$$\int \Psi^*(a|\mathbf{r}_1)[H-E]\Psi(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r})\,d^3\mathbf{r}_1=0$$

since $H\Psi = E\Psi$. A bit of algebra later...

$$[\nabla^2 + k_a^2]F(a|\mathbf{r}) = 2\sum_{a'} V_{aa'}(\mathbf{r})F(a'|\mathbf{r})$$

- $E = E_a + \frac{1}{2}k_a^2$.
- $\frac{1}{2}k_a^2$ is the channel energy
- if positive the channel is *open*, negative the channel is *closed*.
- $V_{aa'}$ is shorthand for $\int \psi^*(a|\mathbf{r}_1) V \psi(a'|\mathbf{r}_1) d^3\mathbf{r}_1$



A brief overview Introduction Hydrogen wavefunctions

The Quantum Defect Method

Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

Atomic data Keith Butler

- set of coupled differential equations
- with exchange

$$[\nabla^2 + k_a^2]F^{\pm}(a|\mathbf{r}) = 2\sum_{a'}[V_{aa'}(\mathbf{r}) \pm W_{aa'}(\mathbf{r})]F^{\pm}(a'|\mathbf{r}).$$

• exchange much more complicated as

$$W_{aa'}(\mathbf{r})F(a'|\mathbf{r}) = \int \psi^*(a|\mathbf{r}_1)[H(\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2) - E]F(a'|\mathbf{r}_1) d^3\mathbf{r}_1\psi(a'|\mathbf{r})$$

- *F* under the integral ⇒ coupled integro-differential equations.
- Solve using standard techniques
- Exchange small for large r
- Exchange small for large I
- Exchange small for large z
- Exchange small for large E

A brief overview Introduction Hydrogen wavefunctions

The Quantum Defect Method

Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

Weak Coupling

We can now look at simpler approximations. Remember

$$F(j,i) \underset{r \to \infty}{\sim} e^{i\mathbf{k}_i \cdot \mathbf{r}} \delta_{ji} + \frac{e^{ik_j r}}{r} f(j,i)$$

$$[\nabla^2 + k_j^2]F(j,i|\mathbf{r}) = 2\sum_{j'}V_{jj'}(\mathbf{r})F(j',i|\mathbf{r}).$$

- now have two boundary conditions
- k_j^2 large so

$$F(j,i) \simeq e^{i\mathbf{k}_j\cdot\mathbf{r}}\delta_{ji}$$

an incoming plane wave. Put this into the RHS of (1) to obtain the first Born approximation

$$[\nabla^2 + k_j^2]F_{B1}(j,i) = 2V_{ji}(\mathbf{r})e^{i\mathbf{k}_i\cdot\mathbf{r}}$$

$$f_{B1}(j,i) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} (\mathbf{k}_j | V_{ji} | \mathbf{k}_i)$$

• How large must the energy be? Who knows.

Atomic data

Keith Butler



(1)

A brief overview

Hydrogen wavefunctions

The Quantum Defect Method

Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

Distorted Wave

• distorted wave approximation assumes all except for V_{ji} are negligible. Have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \nabla^2 + k_i^2 \end{bmatrix} F(i,i) = 2V_{ii}F(i,i) \\ \begin{bmatrix} \nabla^2 + k_j^2 \end{bmatrix} F(j,i) = 2V_{jj}F(j,i) + 2V_{ji}F(i,i)$$

Solution is

$$f_{DW}(j,i) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int \mathcal{F}(j,-\mathbf{k}_j) V_{ji} \mathcal{F}(i,\mathbf{k}_i) d^3 \mathbf{r}$$

where

$$[\nabla^2 - 2V_{ii} + k_i^2] \mathcal{F}(i,i) = 0$$

$$\mathcal{F}(i,\mathbf{k}_i) \underset{r \to \infty}{\sim} e^{i\mathbf{k}_i \cdot \mathbf{r}} \delta_{ji} + \frac{e^{ik_i r}}{r} f_{DW}.$$

- Distorted wave with exchange replace V by $V \pm W$
- Can also use Coulomb functions for ions

Atomic data

Keith Butler



A brief overview Introduction Hydrogen wavefunctions The Quantum Defect Method Many electron systems Scattering theory The close-coupling

R-Matrix method

Intermediate energies

expansion

Bethe approximation

• Start with DW approximation

$$\sigma(i \rightarrow j) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2 g_i} \frac{k_j}{k_i} \int |(\mathbf{k}_j | V_{ji} | \mathbf{k}_i)|^2 \, d\mathbf{k}_j$$

note that

$$V_{ji} = -\frac{1}{r_2} + \frac{1}{r_{12}}.$$

• expand $1/r_{12}$

$$\frac{1}{r_{12}} = \frac{1}{r_2} + \frac{\mathbf{r}_1 \cdot \mathbf{r}_2}{r_2^3}$$

• Integrate over **r**₁,

$$V_{ji}(r_2) = \frac{\mathbf{r}_2 \cdot (j|\mathbf{r}_1|i)}{r_2^3}$$

cross section is

$$\sigma(i \to j) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \frac{k_j}{k_i} \int |(\mathbf{k}_j | \mathbf{r}_2 / r_2^3 | \mathbf{k}_i)|^2 \, d\mathbf{k}_j \times \frac{1}{3g_i} |(j | \mathbf{r}_1 | i)|^2$$

Atomic data

Keith Butler



A brief overview Introduction Hydrogen wavefunctions

The Quantum Defect Method

Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

Keith Butler

• Oscillator strength is

$$\frac{1}{3g_i}|(j|\mathbf{r}_1|i)|^2 = \frac{f_{ji}}{2\Delta E}$$

• free-free Gaunt factor

$$\int \left| \left(\mathbf{k}_j \left| \frac{\mathbf{r}_2}{r_2^3} \right| \mathbf{k}_j \right) \right|^2 d\mathbf{k}_j = \frac{32\pi^4}{k_j k_j \sqrt{3}} g(k_j, k_i).$$

• so cross section is

$$\sigma(i \rightarrow j) = \frac{4\pi^2}{k_i^2} \frac{f_{ji}}{\Delta E} g(k_j, k_i).$$



A brief overview Introduction Hydrogen wavefunctions

The Quantum Defect Method

Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

Keith Butler

• Similar for photoionization (cross section $a(E_k)$)

$$\sigma_{\rm ion}(i) = \frac{2}{k_i^2 \alpha \sqrt{3}} \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}k_i^2 - l} \frac{a(E_k)g(k_j, k_i) dE_k}{l + E_k}$$

• Plane waves (Born) gives Bethe

$$g = rac{\sqrt{3}}{\pi} \log\left(rac{k_i + k_j}{|k_i - k_j|}
ight).$$

- Seaton and van Regemorter use g as fit parameter
- Only good when f_{ij} large
- Do not use if possible



A brief overview Introduction Hydrogen

wavefunctions

The Quantum Defect Method

Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

Partial Wave Theory

Atomic data





- Convenient to use outgoing and incoming spherical wave functions – problem is more symmetric
- waves look like

$$\phi_{\pm}(klm|\mathbf{r}) = k^{-1/2} Y_{lm}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) \frac{e^{\pm (kr - \frac{1}{2}l\pi)}}{r}.$$

• normalize (gives S matrix)

$$\Psi_{S}(al_{2}m_{2}|\mathbf{r}_{1},\mathbf{r}_{2}) \underset{r_{2} \to \infty}{\sim} \Psi(a|\mathbf{r}_{1})\phi_{-}(k_{a}l_{2}m_{2}|\mathbf{r}_{2}) -\sum_{a'l'_{2}m'_{2}} \Psi(a'|\mathbf{r}_{1})\phi_{+}(k_{a'}l'_{2}m'_{2}|\mathbf{r}_{2})S(a'l'_{2}m'_{2},al_{2}m_{2})$$
(2)



A brief overview Introduction Hydrogen wavefunctions The Quantum Defect Method Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

Keith Butler



A brief overview

Introduction

Hydrogen wavefunctions

(3)

The Quantum Defect Method

Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

Intermediate energies

then

$$f(a'\hat{\mathbf{k}_{a'}},a\hat{\mathbf{k}_{a}}) = \frac{2\pi i}{(k_{a}k_{a'})^{\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{\substack{l'_{2}m'_{2} \\ l'_{2}m_{2}}} Y_{l_{2}m_{2}}^{*}(\hat{\mathbf{k}_{a}})Y_{l'_{2}m'_{2}} \times (\hat{\mathbf{k}'_{a}})i^{l_{2}-l'_{2}}T(a'l'_{2}m'_{2},al_{2}m_{2})$$

and $T(\alpha', \alpha) = \delta(\alpha', \alpha) - S(\alpha', \alpha)$ is the transmission matrix.

General case

- Couple l_1, l_2 , include spin and generalize
- Cross section is

$$Q(\alpha_i L_i S_i \to \alpha_j L_j S_j) = \frac{\pi}{k_i^2} \sum_{\substack{LS\pi\\l_i l_j}} \frac{(2L+1)(2S+1)}{2(2L_i+1)(2S_i+1)} |T_{ji}^{LS\pi}|^2$$

collision strength is

$$\Omega(i,j) = \frac{(2L_i+1)(2S_i+1)}{\pi}k_i^2Q$$

convolve with Maxwellian

$$q_{ij} = n_e rac{8.631 imes 10^{-6}}{w_i T^{1/2}} \Upsilon_{ij}.$$

• $\Upsilon_{ij} = \int_0^\infty \Omega(x) e^{-x} dx$ (x = E/kT) varies slowly



Keith Butler



A brief overview Introduction Hydrogen

wavefunctions

The Quantum Defect Method

Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

The K matrix

computers don't like complex numbers

so use

$$\phi(c_{s})(klm|\mathbf{r}) = k^{-1/2} Y_{lm}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}) \frac{1}{r} \binom{\cos}{\sin}(kr - \frac{1}{2}/\pi)$$

• K given by

$$\Psi_{\mathcal{K}} \sim \Phi_{\mathcal{S}}(\alpha) + \sum_{\alpha'} \mathcal{K}(\alpha', \alpha) \Phi_{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha).$$

•
$$2i\Phi_s\sim\Phi_+-\Phi_-$$
 and $2\Phi_c\sim\Phi_++\Phi_-$ so
 $S=rac{1+iK}{1-iK}$

• With only one channel $K = \tan \delta$, the phase shift.

Atomic data

Keith Butler



A brief overview Introduction Hydrogen wavefunctions The Quantum Defect Method Many electron systems Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

Accuracy of calculation

- Depends on target
- More levels (should be better)



Figure: Target energies for Fe²¹⁺ (Badnell, Griffin and Mitnik, 2001)

- Agreement between observed and calculated energies
- Agreement between length and velocity f-values
- Agreement with other f-values

Atomic data

Keith Butler



R-Matrix method for Hydrogen

- seek solutions for $r \leq a$ (R-matrix boundary). R-matrix box.
- *a* is finite so this is a bound state problem
- Equations solved once for each value of *LS*.

Radial Schrödinger equation for H is

$$\left(-\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} - \frac{2}{r} - E\right)F_E(r) = 0$$

with boundary condition

$$F'_E(a) = 0$$

Solutions only for a discrete set of energies $E = e_k$ written as $f_k(r)$.



Keith Butler



A brief overview Introduction Hydrogen wavefunctions The Quantum Defect Method

Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

Table: Eigenvalues and functions for H, l = 0 (Yan & Seaton, 1985)

k	e_k	$f_k(a)$
1	-1.007362	0.136186
2	-0.232335	-0.719054
3	1.093783	0.688598
4	3.335493	-0.661618
5	6.400272	0.650858
6	10.272967	-0.645343

• f_k used to expand $F_E(r)$

$$F_E(r) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k(r) A_{kE}$$

• some more algebra shows

$$F_E(a) = R(E)F'_E(a)$$

• R-matrix is

$$R(E) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k(a)(e_k - E)^{-1} f_k(a).$$

• If we know f_k and e_k we can calculate F_E at all energies.



A brief overview Introduction Hydrogen wavefunctions

The Quantum Defect Method

Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

Matching at r = a: Bound states

• look for vector X so that at r = a

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
F &=& PX \\
F' &=& P'X
\end{array}$$

• *F* = *RF*′ so

PX = RP'X

non-trivial solutions only when

 $\det[P-RP']=0.$

- R comes from inner region, P from outer.
- calculate determinant on a fixed energy mesh, scan for roots with X have physical wavefunctions and energies from r = 0 to r = ∞.

Keith Butler



A brief overview Introduction Hydrogen wavefunctions The Quantum Defect Method

Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

Matching at r = a: Free states

For all channels open

$$P = S + CK$$

S and C are the sine and cosine-like Coulomb functions.

$$F = RF'$$
 at $r = a$

which gives immediately

$$K = (C - RC')^{-1}(S - RS').$$

The same can be done when some channels are closed, the principle is the same.

Atomic data

Keith Butler



A brief overview Introduction Hydrogen wavefunctions The Quantum Defect Method Many electron

Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

Summary

- Diagonalisation of H gives R at any energy.
- Matching to the Coulomb functions at *r* = *a* gives *K* or bound states.
- K is enough for collisional data.
- radiative need

$$(\Psi|\mathbf{r}|\Psi') = (\Psi|\mathbf{r}|\Psi')_I + (\Psi|\mathbf{r}|\Psi')_O$$

• inner integrals are sums of

 $(u|\mathbf{r}|u')$

pre-calculated and stored.

• outer region Ψ are Coulomb functions and calculated rapidly

Atomic data



A brief overview Introduction Hydrogen wavefunctions

The Quantum Defect Method

Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

Some examples

Atomic data





Figure: Low energy photoionzation of C^+ . (Kjeldsen et al, 1999. The solid curve is from Nahar (1995)



A brief overview Introduction

Hydrogen wavefunctions

The Quantum Defect Method

Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

Some examples (Champeaux et al, 2003)

Atomic data

Keith Butler



Dielectronic recombination

- Resonances in photoionization is dielectronic recombination
- Can also combine f-values and background cross sections



Fig. 1. Fe¹⁴⁺ to Fe¹⁷⁺ total DR rate coefficients due to 2 \rightarrow 2 and 2 \rightarrow 3 core excitations: a) TSR experiment (Savin et al. 1999, 2002a); b) the present MCBP calculations with the RR contribution added, which is responsible for the upward curve of the background with decreasing energy.

Figure: Zatsarinny et al (2003)

Atomic data

Keith Butler



A brief overview Introduction

Hydrogen wavefunctions

The Quantum Defect Method

Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

Relativistic Effects

- Full relativistic DARC (Norrington and Grant)
- BP R-Matrix (Berrington et al)
- Breit-Pauli DW packages (various)
- ICFT Algebraic transformation (Badnell)



Atomic data

Keith Butler



Introduction Hydrogen wavefunctions The Quantum Defect Method

A brief overview

Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

Intermediate energies

- at low energies close coupling
- at high energies Born
- at intermediate energies CCC, RMPS

There's nothing new



Fig. 1. The spectrum of the hydrogen target is shown for various Laguerre bases. On the left-hand side we keep $\lambda = 1$. On the right-hand side λ is varied so as to keep one of the positive energy levels constant at 0.2 Rydbergs. A logarithmic scale is used for the positive energies.

Figure: Bray and Stelbovics, 1995

Atomic data

Keith Butler



A brief overview

Introduction

Hydrogen wavefunctions

The Quantum Defect Method

Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

Keith Butler



A brief overview

Introduction

Hydrogen wavefunctions

The Quantum Defect Method

Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

Intermediate energies

Convergent close coupling (CCC) and R-matrix with pseudostates (RPMS) differ in detail but both solve the problem in the same way. RPMS has an advantage compared to CCC since, as in the normal R-matrix method, the diagonalization of the Hamiltonian is done once and then cross sections at a large number of energies are obtained by matching the external functions to those from the inner region. This allows the resonances to be delineated which can only be done at great expense with the CCC method.

Intermediate energy calculations for H

Atomic data

Keith Butler



Figure: Bartschat et al, 1996



A brief overview Introduction Hydrogen wavefunctions

The Quantum Defect Method

Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

Summary

Atomic data

Keith Butler



A brief overview

Introduction

Hydrogen wavefunctions

The Quantum Defect Method

Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

Intermediate energies

Radiative data

- quantum defect good for 1 electron systems
- Cl calculations (much) better
- MCDF best for oscillator strengths
- There are good and bad calculations
- Photoionization from R-matrix method

Collisional data

- Bethe only if nothing else
- Born only very high energies
- Distorted wave not good for low z
- R-matrix good for low temperatures
- CCC and RMPS best

Addendum: Determination of possible terms

Note that for a closed sub-shell, only a ¹S term is possible since $M_L = \sum_i m_{l_i} = 0$, $M_S = \sum_i m_{s_i} = 0$. For non-equivalent electrons, the exclusion principle is automatically satisfied, so that we can simply use the addition rules for angular momenta

 $J = |J_1 - J_2|, \dots, |J_1 + J_2|$

for L and S separately. Consider two electrons with l_1, l_2 . Then

 $L = |I_1 - I_2| \dots |I_1 + I_2|$

and since $s_1 = s_2 = \frac{1}{2}$, S = 0 or 1. E.g. for np n'p, $l_1 = l_2 = 1$ and L = 0,1, or 2. Thus the possible terms are

^{1,3}S,^{1,3}P,^{1,3}D.

Atomic data

Keith Butler



Introduction Hydrogen wavefunctions The Quantum Defect Method

A brief overview

Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

Keith Butler



A brief overview

Introduction

Hydrogen wavefunctions

The Quantum Defect Method

Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method

Intermediate energies

If $l_1 = 1$ and $l_2 = 2$, (np n'd), on the other hand, L = 1, 2, 3 and the possible terms are

To work out the terms for three electrons, we start from the known two electron terms and then systematically add the third electron, using (65) once more. For example, consider npn'pn''d. The first two electrons give the terms listed in (66). The *d* electron has $l = 2, s = \frac{1}{2}$. If we add this to the ¹S term (L' = S' = 0), we find $L = 2, S = \frac{1}{2}$, a ²D term.

Keith Butler

In the same way, adding the n''d electron to

¹Р	gives	² P, ² D, ² F
¹ D	gives	² S, ² P, ² D, ² F, ² G
ЗS	gives	^{2,4} D
ЗΡ	gives	^{2,4} P, ^{2,4} D, ^{2,4} F
ЗD	gives	^{2,4} S, ^{2,4} P, ^{2,4} D, ^{2,4} F, ^{2,4} G

The process can be continued but it is obvious that the number of possible terms grows very rapidly.



A brief overview

Hydrogen wavefunctions

The Quantum Defect Method

Many electron systems

Scattering theory

The close-coupling expansion

R-Matrix method